"[W]hat we are trying to do is clarify a regulation that has not been modernized in well over 50 years. And the ambiguity in the regulation is impeding the Department's ability to enforce the law so that we cannot protect workers who need protection. So what we are trying to do is to guarantee vulnerable, low-wage workers the overtime that they deserve, and we also want to provide clarity so that business people know what they're supposed to be doing.

It seems to me that the FLSA is abundantly clear: if a worker who is covered by the act works more than 40 hours per week, he or she is entitled to time-and-a-half pay for each extra hour worked.

According to the EPI, the administration's proposed changes go far beyond simple clarifications. "It is troubling that such dramatic losses in overtime protection are being proposed as a means of bringing clarity to the regulations and reducing litigation. As [our report] has shown—the proposed rule is rife with ambiguity and new terms—that will spawn new litigation."

The Secretary's contention that the FLSA has not been updated in 50 years is just plain false. Congress has amended and revised the FLSA numerous times since its enactment in 1938, most recently just 3 years ago. I regret that this administration continues to characterize Federal labor protections as "outdated" and claims that it seeks to "update" them for the new century, when, in fact, many of its proposals would roll back protections for workers around the country.

Who are the 8 million workers who will be affected by this proposed rule change? According to EPI, 257 "white collar" occupational groups could be impacted. EPI did a detailed analysis of the effect of this rule on 78 of those occupational groups and found that 2.5 million salaried employees and 5.5 million hourly workers would lose their overtime protections under the proposed rule. And that is less than half of the occupational groups that would be covered by this rule change.

By broadening the FLSA wage and hour exemptions, the Department of Labor is seeking to deny overtime benefits to a wide range of workers, including police officers, firefighters, and other first responders, nurses and other health care workers, postmasters, preschool teachers, and social workers, just to name a few.

I am deeply troubled that the administration would propose a rule that would deny overtime benefits to the people who put their lives on the line each and every day to protect our communities and those who work in health care professions, which, of course, as we know, already are facing severe staffing shortages. I am also disappointed that the Office of Management and Budget issued a "Statement of Administration Policy" document on this bill that states that the President's advisers would recommend that he veto this important appropriations bill if the Harkin amendment is adopted. I think it is irresponsible to threaten to veto a bill that includes crucial funding for labor, health, and education programs because the administration, apparently, is digging in its heels about a proposal that would deny millions of Americans overtime pay. I regret that this administration is so determined to undermine labor protections for American workers that it would actually threaten to deny funding for schools, health care, job training, and other programs that it regularly claims are a priority.

I urge my colleagues to support working families by supporting the Harkin amendment.

Mr. President, I yield the floor and suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

RECESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate stands in recess until 2:15 p.m.

Thereupon, the Senate, at 12:30 p.m., recessed until 2:15 p.m. and reassembled when called to order by the Presiding Officer (Mr. VOINOVICH).

DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2004—Continued

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Democratic leader.

Mr. DASCHLE. Mr. President, just prior to the caucus recess I had the opportunity to talk to Senator FRIST about the pending schedule. We both had indicated to each other that it was our expectation we would talk to the caucus about where we are with regard to that schedule. I had indicated it would be my expectation we could complete our work on the Labor, Education appropriations bill prior to September 11; I couldn't guarantee it, but that would be my expectation. What we really wanted was an opportunity to do what Senator HARKIN has been calling for since he offered his amendment on the overtime regulation last Friday. We have said if we can get a vote, which is, of course, the right of any Senator to expect if he offers his amendment, if we have that vote, if they cooperate, then certainly we can reciprocate. It is our desire is to reciprocate and cooperate.

However, I come to the floor this afternoon simply to reiterate how vitally important this issue is. Eight million people in this country today will be affected by the vote to be taken here. With absolutely no consultation, with no public hearings, with little public debate, last spring the adminis-

tration promulgated new rules weakening overtime protection for workers. Again, as I said, there was no consultation with us or the millions of workers affected before the most sweeping change in overtime rules was issued.

The overtime regulations have changed over the years but, as Senator HARKIN has so ably and eloquently pointed out, this is the first time the Department of Labor has used their efforts to update the salary threshold as a back door to take away overtime protection for millions of workers. This is a major constraint being created in the overtime rules.

What is remarkable is that overtime pay now accounts for 25 percent of the income of workers who work overtime—25 percent. These rules affect firefighters. It affects policemen. It affects first responders in various ways—emergency medical technicians, licensed practical nurses, pilots, dental hygienists, health technicians, electrical technicians, air traffic controllers. They are all affected, and that is not a complete list.

Senator Harkin has noted it was just last Friday we passed S. Res. 210. I will not reread the whole thing, he did such a good job earlier today, but we cite:

. . . the more overworked employees feel, the more likely they are to report making mistakes, feel anger and resentment toward employers and coworkers, and look for a new job . . .

Whereas 46 percent of salaried workers are parents with children under the age of 18 who live with them at least half-time . . .

Whereas nearly one out of every four Americans—over 45 million Americans—provided or arranged care for a family member or friend in the past year . . .

With all those "whereas's"—again, I will not repeat them all—we concluded just last Friday, unanimously, that it is the position of the Senate that we should reduce the conflict between work and family life; that this should be a national priority; that the month of October—next month—should be designated as "National Work and Family Month"; and that the President should issue a proclamation calling upon the people of the United States to observe "National Work and Family Month" with appropriate ceremonies and activities.

If I had been on the Senate floor, I would have offered an amendment. I would have called for the passage, as well, of the Harkin amendment. How could you possibly proclaim "National Work and Family Month" and then tell millions of workers who earn overtime pay that they don't have the right to the protection that the Fair Labor Standards Act has provided them now for over 65 years?

The Republicans' actions makes a mockery of this resolution.

This is a critical vote. Whether it is today, tomorrow, or it is at some point in the future, we will have a vote on this legislation. We will vote on whether to protect American workers against